UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,435	08/28/2006	Veronique Barlet-Gouedard	21.1185	9595
	7590 02/15/200 GER TECHNOLOGY	EXAMINER		
David Cate	LL STIMULATION	MARCANTONI, PAUL D		
	BERGER DRIVE, MD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUGAR LAND), TX 77478	1793		
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		02/15/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ssitzmann@sugar-land.oilfield.slb.com pmohan@sugar-land.oilfield.slb.com

			Application No.	plication No. Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/595,435		BARLET-GOUEDARD ET AL.			
			Examiner		Art Unit			
			Paul Marcantoni		1793			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur r Reply	nication appea	ars on the cover s	heet with the co	orrespondence ad	ddress		
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comi period for reply is specified above, the maximum s e to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. tatutory period will a y will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COM a). In no event, howeve apply and will expire SIX ause the application to be	IMUNICATION r, may a reply be tim ((6) MONTHS from the decome ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 26 Octo	ober 2004					
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 October 2004</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
′=		<i>,</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is		
-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	·	ioo anaor Ex i	parto Quayro, 10	00 O.B. 11, 10	0 0.0.210.			
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or e	election requireme	ent.				
Applicati	on Papers							
	- Γhe specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner						
•			ted or b)□ objec	ted to by the E	xaminer.			
-	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
			·	• , ,		` '		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) · No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	5) 🔲 No	terview Summary (aper No(s)/Mail Da otice of Informal Pa her:	te			

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a,b, and e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over WO 01/70646 (X Reference from Int'l Search Report), Barlet Gouedard et al. '078 B2 or '945 A1, or Spangle '313.

All of the above cited references teach the specific concrete with the same components in the same range of amounts and within their claimed Si-Ca-Al phase diagram for Portland cement concrete thus anticipating applicants claims. Even if not anticipated, overlapping ranges of amounts would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art (See respective claims).

Application/Control Number: 10/595,435 Page 3

Art Unit: 1793

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention.

The use of parentheses around [xonotlite/wollastonite] is improper in claim 1 and all claims it is used. Please remove these parentheses to overcome this problem.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Marcantoni whose telephone number is 571-272-1373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Paul Marcantoni/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793